

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Bec 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/719,247	03/30/2001	Harmut Kratzke	022701-906	5390
21839 759	00 12/03/2003		EXAMINER	
	NE SWECKER & MAT	PIERCE, JEREMY R		
POST OFFICE BOX 1404 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1404			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1771	

DATE MAILED: 12/03/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/719,247	KRATZKE ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Jeremy R. Pierce	1771				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 Se	eptember 2003.					
l	action is non-final.					
3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowan	_					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.						
a)  The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.						
14)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.						
Attachment(s)						
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)</li> </ol>	5) Notice of Informal P	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) atent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/719,247

Art Unit: 1771

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Response to Amendment

1. Applicant's amendment filed on September 30, 2003 has been entered. Claims 1, 3, 5, 7, 10, 13 have been amended. The amendment is sufficient to withdraw the 35 USC 112, 102, and 103 rejections set forth in sections 4 and 8-13 of the last Office Action.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1-9 and 12-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Gehrig et al. (U.S. Patent No. 3,929,542).

Gehrig et al. disclose a nonwoven web made from filaments obtained from a single material containing helical crimps (column 1, lines 59-68). The yarn count of the filaments can be between 1 and 100 dtex (column 7, line 39). The nonwoven web may also be formed from staple fibers (column 10, lines 49-52). With regard to claims 2 and 16, the filaments can be polyamide (column 6, lines 64-68). With regard to claim 3, Gehrig et al. offer examples of polyamides including caprolactam, adipic acid, sebacic acids, and diamines, which are the precursors for forming the various claimed nylons.

Application/Control Number: 09/719,247

Art Unit: 1771

With regard to claims 4 and 5, Gehrig et al. describe crimping via pneumatic crimping (column 2, lines 15-55). With regard to claims 8 and 14, the nonwoven web is used in artificial leather and various articles of clothing.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 10 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gehrig et al. in view of Scherbel (U.S. Patent No. 5,922,433).

Gehrig et al. disclose the webs to be used in a number of purposes, including filling materials and clothing (column 7, lines 47-58), but do not disclose napping the surface of the textile or the basis weight. Scherbel discloses a nonwoven fabric having fibers with three-dimensional crimps (column 3, line 47). The nonwoven fabric is used in garments and may be napped (column 3, line 41). It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to nap the textile of Gehrig et al. in order to raise the fiber surface, as taught by Scherbel. With regard to claim 11, Scherbel teaches the weight of the nonwoven fabrics can range from 30 to 80 grams per square meter (column 1, lines 56-57). It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to make the fabric of

Application/Control Number: 09/719,247

Art Unit: 1771

Gehrig et al. have a basis weight between 30 and 80 gsm in order to better adapt the fabric for use as filling material in clothing, as taught by Scherbel.

## Response to Arguments

- 6. Applicant's arguments filed September 30, 2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 7. Applicant argues that Gehrig et al. teach continuous filaments and fail to disclose filaments that are cut, i.e. are discontinuous. However, Gehrig et al. teach forming staple fibers having the same features of the filaments (column 10, lines 49-52), so Gehrig et al. disclose discontinuous fibers.

#### Conclusion

8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jeremy R. Pierce whose telephone number is (703) 605-4243. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 7-4:30 and alternate Fridays 7-4.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Terrel Morris can be reached on (703) 308-2414. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

JTRP jrp

PRIMARY EXA.